1 2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION
3	HOUSTON DIVISION
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA . 4:12-CR-272-36
5	VERSUS . HOUSTON, TEXAS
6	JAMES FRANCIS SAMPSELL . DECEMBER 9, 2014
7	
8	
9	TRANSCRIPT OF SENTENCING BEFORE THE HONORABLE SIM LAKE
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11	APPEARANCES
12	FOR THE GOVERNMENT:
13	
14	Timothy Braley Assistant United States Attorney 1000 Louisiana
15	Suite 2300 Houston, Texas 77002
16	FOR THE DEFENDANT:
17	Larry Eastepp
18	LARRY D. EASTEPP PC 5300 Memorial Drive
19	Suite 1000 Houston, Texas 77007
20	Houseon, Texas 77007
21	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER:
22	Mayra Malone, CSR, RMR, CRR U.S. Courthouse
23	515 Rusk, Room 8004 Houston, Texas 77002
24	
25	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography. Transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.
	Mayra Malone, CSR, RMR, CRR

Mayra Malone, CSR, RMR, CRR malonereporting.com

## PROCEEDINGS 1 THE COURT: The United States versus James Sampsell, 2 Criminal Action 12-272. 3 MR. BRALEY: Tim Braley for the United States, Your 4 Honor. 5 6 MR. EASTEPP: Larry Eastepp for Mr. Sampsell. 7 THE COURT: Would you please state your name, sir? 8 THE DEFENDANT: James Sampsell. 9 THE COURT: Have you read the presentence 10 investigation report before today? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have. 11 THE COURT: Have you discussed the report with your 12 13 attorney? 14 THE DEFENDANT: I have. THE COURT: Your attorney has filed a number of 15 I have read the objections, the government's 16 objections. response and your rebuttal. The objection to trafficking in 17 18 firearms is Racketeering Act number one. It appears to have some merit, and I understand the parties have further 19 20 discussion about that? MR. EASTEPP: It is my understanding that it will be 21 withdrawn. 2.2 The objection will be withdrawn? 23 MR. BRALEY: 24 MR. EASTEPP: No. That conduct withdrawn from being 25 considered.

MR. BRALEY: There is an agreement that that 1 Racketeering Act should not count for the calculation. 2 THE COURT: The Racketeering Act one will not count. 3 4 The objection to paragraphs 34 and 43 dealing with the type and amount of methamphetamine is denied. The objection to the 5 6 obstruction of justice paragraph, number 47, is denied, and the 7 objections to criminal history is denied. Based on the sustaining Racketeering Count Number 8 9 One, it appears that the total offense level would be 29, the 10 criminal history category would be five and the advisory 11 guideline range would be 140 to 175 months. Do counsel and the probation officer concur in 12 13 that result? 14 MR. BRALEY: Yes, Your Honor. MR. EASTEPP: Yes. I think with sustaining that 15 16 objection, that is where we would be. THE PROBATION OFFICER: Yes, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: I have also read the sentencing memorandum 18 19 and request for a variance. 20 Mr. Sampsell, you may now make a statement and 21 present any information in mitigation, and I will let the government's lawyer speak and your lawyer speak. 2.2 THE DEFENDANT: Well, Your Honor, when I entered into 23 24 this plea with the government, I was expected to abide by the 25 terms and the information included in the plea agreement, and I

2.2

also expect that the government to be held to that same standard. And I feel that the gun -- the gun thing, that was a big issue with me because that was in previous pleas and I didn't agree to it, so, you know, we are stepping in the right direction. I still felt that the type of methamphetamines was worded deliberately by the government in the plea to say "methamphetamines" and not "methamphetamine" actual, so I felt that that is how I would be sentenced. I don't know if that was just a misunderstanding on my part, how that worked, but I thought that that was -- how it was worded would be how I would be sentenced.

THE COURT: Well, the facts that you pled guilty to describe the date of the transactions and the amount sold and the buyers and then the other information provided in the presentence investigation report, the factual information, to which there is no objection, shows the type of methamphetamine it was. So I explained to you in great detail at the rearraignment that nobody knew what the guideline range would be because the probation officer hadn't begun the process. And I asked you if anybody promised you what sentence you would receive or what the guideline range would be, and you told me no.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, I did.

THE COURT: So you're bound by the plea agreement and the facts that are in the presentence report, which are not

disputed, or disputable.

2.2

Any other objections?

THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

MR. EASTEPP: I just want to make sure he understands he can make a further statement to the Court.

THE COURT: Yeah. I was going to tell him that. You may now make a statement and present any information in mitigation, and then I will let the government's lawyer speak and your lawyer speak.

THE DEFENDANT: I don't really think there is anything else to say, Your Honor. I did what I did. I accept the responsibility for the things that I did. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Braley?

MR. BRALEY: Judge, the government requests a sentence within the guideline range as determined by the Court. To be fair and consistent with other recommendations, the United States recommends a sentence towards the lower end of that guideline range.

MR. EASTEPP: Judge, I have a couple areas I would like to cover. I know you denied the objection on the amount. I'm not about to reargue that, but within that objection, I also cited a Ninth Circuit case that is pre-Booker, pre-Gall, where the Ninth Circuit said when you have a middleman in a drug transaction, it may be that the district court wants to consider a departure when the middleman can't control the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

purity of the drugs that he is buying. And it is clear even from reading the facts in a light most favorable to the government as to how these transactions went down that are the racketeering acts that he has pled quilty to that are contained within the presentence report, that he is telling the agents in the undercover calls, particularly as to those last drugs that have the highest purity, that I'm trying to raise the money and I'm then going to go buy the drugs and then I'm going to drive them back down to Conroe. It's a classic middleman situation when you read -- again, in a light most favorable to how the government laid those facts out. It then became happenstance that he found -- the person he bought them from had really good pure methamphetamine. That was a pure happenstance for Mr. Sampsell so -- and I'm not asking for some sort of huge movement on this, Judge, but I ask, first, that you consider that in your ultimate decision.

Secondly, this is what I have hit on in the sentencing memorandum that goes back to this presentence report that is full of this information that from the time this man has been 14, he has been on some sort of drug, drinking. And when you look at his criminal history, which is lengthy, it's not lengthy with violent crimes. It's lengthy with drug crimes. There has only been a couple in here that didn't score but that are something other than either marijuana or methamphetamine. And as he got into his 20s and 30s, it is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

almost all completely methamphetamine. He has never had longer than a seven-year prison sentence at any time, which means every assistant district attorney that stood in front of a state district judge, to sentence him on every one of those charges to everything that we know today, that he was standing there as a drug user and he keeps getting these what looked like favorable plea bargains -- and they are favorable -- but they are favorable when you look back at what the facts of these offenses are that he has been convicted for. user quantities of drugs that clearly backs up that he has been an untreated meth addict for years and years, including -- for the very last offense that he was convicted for that is contained on page -- I think it is 28 of the -- and paragraph 85 where it says, "Once the police get him out of the car and look, a search of the vehicle's console revealed a substance to be methamphetamine and several needles."

It's not, "We searched the car and found several packages, prepackaged, that is normally found to be resold into the market." It's needles and drugs for him to use. And he goes back to the penitentiary, and that is 10 years ago when he was 42. And if you back up from that offense, all the way to -- including one of the racketeering acts that is paragraph 32 that came from an individual named William Maynard, that's on page 16, it says "Maynard ordered Sampsell to kill Lamphere and gave Sampsell a gun and money. Maynard learned that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Sampsell used the money and sold the gun in exchange for drugs." So even within this Aryan Brotherhood thing, the drugs have been a controlling factor. If you read that, that he is supposed to be going and doing something, he goes and sells the gun because his meth addiction is so bad that he is even ignoring this Aryan Brotherhood order that he is given.

In fact, in that particular incident, he ended up being shot himself seven times, but I have cited in the sentencing memorandum -- and I know you have read every word of it -- what Judge Saris said recently in her role as the Chairman of the Sentencing Commission about drug offenses and drug offenders and then when you look at the studies for meth offenders, he is classically a meth user who has never gotten real treatment. And that's the man who stands in front of you. This man today is 52 years old. Whatever sentence you give him, if you give him the sentence that Mr. Braley just asked for, he is going to be close to Social Security age. He is going to be 64 or so if he gets the low end of the sentence as it's calculated right now. You know, he is going to be an old man when he comes out. This is going to be his first real lengthy prison sentence in what is his, quote, long criminal What he needs is drug rehabilitation because I think between drug rehabilitation -- because he is not on meth. presentence investigation report backs up that in this last stretch before he was arrested here on this federal case that

2.

2.2

he was working both -- he had an electrician's job and he was working on an oil rig until he had a bad accident and his left hand was hurt working on the top of the rig where he was knocked out and knocked off the top of the rig. Vito Corleone was living on a big estate in Long Island directing a big criminal enterprise. The man that they say he is was on top of a rig in Odessa, Texas and got knocked off when a pipe burst back out on him. He is out working a working man's job, so the man who is not on methamphetamine has been known to work, and that is including in the recent past. So, Judge, I think a -- and when you also look as his criminal history, as I argued, that if you -- that two of those -- in 1997, the revocation occurred on the same day and he gets six points. If they were considered one and it dropped just one category -- I think his criminal history is overstated.

THE COURT: They're overstated? There must be 10 convictions not included because of age.

MR. EASTEPP: You're right, Judge. But, I mean, the law took care of those. There is no reason to consider those. They are all based on his drug addiction. This is a man that has never had any sort of drug addiction. Judge, I think a slight variance -- and, again, I'm not asking --

THE COURT: How did he get to be a general in the Aryan Brotherhood if he is so incompetent?

MR. EASTEPP: Maybe it's the last man standing. The

government had everybody else in custody by the time -- and it is clear from the facts, had he maybe not wandered down here into this meth deal, he might not have ever been indicted because they didn't put him in that first indictment. We will never know, but --

THE COURT: Mr. Braley, do you want to respond to any of this?

MR. BRALEY: No, Your Honor.

2.2

THE COURT: All right. I don't think that a variance or downward departure is warranted under this case. He has got a lengthy history of convictions. I agree they are drug convictions, but I think the lower end of the guideline range takes care of your arguments.

I sentence you to 140 months in custody to be followed by five years of supervised release. You will cooperate in the collection of a DNA sample. You will not possess a firearm, ammunition, destructive device or dangerous weapon. You will participate in a program of drug and/or alcohol treatment and testing as instructed and deemed necessary by the probation officer. Because you do not have an ability to pay a fine, no fine will be imposed. You will pay now the mandatory \$100 special assessment.

I have granted the government's motion to dismiss the remaining count.

Mr. Eastepp, has the government complied with the

1	plea agreement?
2	MR. EASTEPP: Yes, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Under the plea agreement, you have no
4	right to appeal.
5	Does either counsel wish to say anything else?
6	MR. BRALEY: No, Your Honor.
7	MR. EASTEPP: He would request, Your Honor, if you
8	would make a recommendation to El Reno FCI.
9	THE COURT: I will make that recommendation. You are
10	remanded to the custody of the marshal. Counsel are excused.
11	(Proceedings concluded at 3:49 p.m.)
12	* * * * I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from
13	the record of proceedings in the above-entitled cause.
14	Date: August 3, 2015
15	/s/ Mayra Malone
16	Mayra Malone, CSR, RMR, CRR
17	Official Court Reporter
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	